ATTACHMENT A

 From:
 Chin, Lucita

 To:
 Pete Breuer

 Cc:
 Sutin, Elyana

Subject: RE: Your Motion to the EAB

Date: Friday, March 22, 2019 2:06:31 PM

Thanks, Peter. What I was trying to impress is that it's possible that the EAB will reject your motion because you did not include the information that EPA concurs with the motion as long as we are a party to your discussions, in addition to the fact that Goodnight Midstream is not a party. We appreciate the sentiment to be kept in the loop, but we are requesting to be part of your discussions. If your motion is denied, the EPA is amenable to engaging in the ADR process.

Lucita

Lucita Chin Senior Assistant Regional Counsel Environmental Protection Agency 1595 Wynkoop St. Denver, CO 80202

From: Pete Breuer

Office: (303) 312-7832

Sent: Friday, March 22, 2019 1:58 PM

To: Chin, Lucita

Cc: Sutin, Elyana

Subject: RE: Your Motion to the EAB

Lucita,

Thanks for following up. I don't see an issue with keeping the EPA in the loop.

Peter J. Breuer*
Fredericks Law Firm LLC
10541 Racine Street
Commerce City, CO 80022
*Licensed in Colorado, Montana, and Oklahoma

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION,

DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL E-MAIL MESSAGE TO US VIA RETURN E-MAIL OR AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU

From: Chin, Lucita

Sent: Friday, March 22, 2019 1:42 PM

To: Pete Breuer

Cc: Sutin, Elyana

Subject: Your Motion to the EAB

Peter:

After our phone call, I realized that you may not know that in any motion you file with the EAB, they will want to know whether the opposing party concurs or objects to the motion. Technically, the only parties to this process currently are the Tribe and the EPA.

The EPA does not oppose the motion for stay. However, the caveat is that we think we should be a party to your discussions, particularly if the discussions will involve changes to the Permit or other action on the Permit. We would be in the best position to tell you whether changes to the Permit would be feasible.

Lucita

Lucita Chin Senior Assistant Regional Counsel Environmental Protection Agency 1595 Wynkoop St. Denver, CO 80202

Office: (303) 312-7832